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Virus-Encoded microRNAs: Future Therapeutic Targets?
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The discovery of microRNAs (miRNAs) is a remarkable breakthrough in the field of molecular genetics, as miRNAs are key actors which regulate gene expression in diverse cellular processes from unicellular yeast to human. The recent discovery of virus-encoded miRNAs indicates that viruses also use this fundamental mode of gene regulation. Research into viral miRNAs function demonstrates that some miRNAs play an important role in regulating both the viral life cycle and the interaction between viruses and their hosts. The first in vivo “antagomir” study provides an exciting first step towards miRNA therapy, and the potential for ultimately designing molecular medicines based on the modulation of miRNAs seems good. Cellular & Molecular Immunology. 2006;3(6):411-419.
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Introduction

Discovery of microRNAs as regulators of gene expression

Until the early 1990s, the Central Dogma of genetic still persisted in the mono-mechanism that DNA was transcribed to mRNA, which was then translated into polypeptide or protein, resulting in a certain specific functions or phenotypes. For years, except a few exceptions such as the common infrastructural RNAs involved in protein synthesis, transport and splicing, ncRNAs were recognized as redundant debris and discarded after splicing. The discovery of microRNAs (miRNAs) is a remarkable breakthrough in the field of molecular genetics, as miRNAs are key actors which regulate gene expression in diverse cellular processes from unicellular yeast to human.

MiRNA was first observed in C. elegans as RNA molecules of 18-23 nt, which are partially complementary to several regions of the 3’ untranslated regions (UTR) of the target transcripts, including the lin-4 and let-7 genes (1, 2). In subsequent studies, the other broad class of small regulatory RNAs with similar features to lin-4 and let-7 were identified in diverse organisms, ranging from from C. elegans to D. melanogaster, and even in human (3-5). These small segments of RNA were named microRNAs according to their size, including exonic and inrRNA-ncRNAs. Thus far, over 3500 miRNAs have been identified, with more than 320 found in humans (MiRBase) (6, 7).

MicroRNA biogenesis and function

Including virus-encoded miRNAs, miRNA genes are found as single or clustered transcription units (8, 9) and are expressed from intron regions of protein-coding or non-protein-coding genes, or in other cases, as independent transcription units (10, 11). Transcription of miRNA genes by RNA polymerase II or III results in the production of large primary miRNA transcripts (pri-miRNAs) that are capped, polyadenylated and spliced, containing numerous bulges and mismatches (Figure 1).

The first step in miRNA processing involves the recognition and nuclear cleavage of pri-miRNA by the microprocessor complex composed by Drosha and its associated binding partner, DGCR8 (DiGeorge-syndrome critical-region protein 8) in vertebrates (Figure 1) (12-14). This cleavage generates a short stem loop pre-miRNA, which is transported by a complex of the karyopherin exportin 5 and...
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Upon arrival in the cytoplasm, GTP hydrolysis results in the release of the pre-miRNA, which is then bound by a second cellular RNase III enzyme Dicer, acting with a dsRNA-binding protein partner, the TRBP (transactivating region RNA-binding protein) in human or Loqs (Loquacious) protein in flies (Figure 1). Dicer binds the 2-nt 3’ overhang at the base of the pre-miRNA hairpin and removes the terminal loop, leaving a second 2-nt 3’ overhang and generating the miRNA duplex (presumably transient). Only one of the two strands is the miRNA; the other counterpart is named miRNA*.

Next, Dicer facilitates the assembly of the ‘guide’ strand of the miRNA duplex into a miRNA effector complex (also known as a miRNA-containing RNA-induced silencing complex, miRISC), while the ‘passenger’ strand is released and degraded. The miRNA then acts as a guide to direct RISC to complementary mRNA chains, which were either cleaved or translationally inhibited, depending on the degree of complementarity between the RISC binding miRNA and the target mRNA (Figure 1). Perfect complementarity generally results in the mRNA cleavage and degradation, while there is insufficient complementarity with the target, the miRNA-RISC represses translation of the mRNA transcripts.

Normally viruses utilize the connatural molecular mechanism to proliferate in host cell. It comes as no surprise that viruses also encode miRNAs in their smaller genomes, which may modulate both their own gene expression and that of their host cells. In this review, we describe the features of virus-encoded miRNAs and their potential involvement in the regulation of their own genes and in the evasion of cellular immune mechanisms. Our conclusions indicate that viral miRNAs may be applied as candidate therapeutic targets in the near future.

### Virus-encoded miRNAs

Because of the widespread expression and important regulatory functions of miRNAs in various organisms, it was easy to estimate that viruses also encode miRNAs. Viruses are minute infectious agents who are characterized by their ability to enter host cells and hijack their biochemical machinery for their own replication. Among the numerous tools they use to achieve this goal, viral miRNAs have emerged as a significant player. By modulating the expression of host genes, viral miRNAs can alter the host cell’s response to infection, allowing the virus to establish a productive infection.
by a lack of independent metabolism and the inability to replicate outside living host cells. They would exploit the biosynthetic machinery of host cells to synthesize various components meanwhile inactivate the innate defence mechanisms of the host, such as the interferon and apoptosis responses. Although many large viruses achieve this by encoding proteins that specifically against host-cell defences, for instance, p19 encoded by tomato bushy stunt virus binds tightly to small interfering RNA molecules (siRNAs) duplexes and inhibits incorporation of siRNA into RISC (19-22), the viruses coding limited proteins make the tiny miRNAs a particularly efficient tool to turn off the expression of specific genes. These small RNAs occupy little genomic space, additionally, because of the regulation acting at the mRNA level, not the protein level, making miRNAs rapidly accumulate in infected cells, would be a powerful means of modulating viral and cellular gene expression. Indeed, recent studies show that some viruses do exploit this pathway by generating their own miRNAs (18). Up to date, 11 virus genomes encoding 84 novel viral miRNAs were confirmed (Table 1), which provides the opportunity to study the biogenesis and function in detail of miRNAs and the important regulatory functions for both virus gene expression and that of their host cells.

However, according to the miRNA biogenesis pathway, we can speculate that not all viruses have the potential to encode miRNAs. On the one hand, for most RNA viruses, as well as one group of DNA viruses, replicate and express their genome in the cytoplasm. As we know, the initial step in miRNA processing, cleavage of the pri-miRNA by Drosha, occurs in the nucleus (12). This exposes a potential difficulty for these viruses to encode miRNAs. On the other hand, in the step of the pre-miRNA generation, only the pre-miRNA is then exported to the cytoplasm. That means if an RNA virus encodes a miRNA, the viral RNA genome (or the viral genome minus strand) would be subjected to the cleavage and destruction in the progress of entering the nucleus. Accordingly, it was thought that RNA viruses, as well as those cytoplasmic DNA virus family such as poxviruses, could not encode their own miRNAs. If the hypothesis is true, then whether or not others similar small RNA molecules such as HIV exogenous engineered short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) (23), or other arcane ways make up the regulation of miRNAs still remains to be uncovered.

### Table 1. Summary of known virus-encoded miRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Num miRNAs</th>
<th>Functions</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herpesvirus</td>
<td>EBV</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Modify BALF5 transcript? Target chemokines, cytokines, apoptotic and cell growth control genes?</td>
<td>18, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KSHV</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Regulate kaposin gene?</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCMV</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Control viral replication?</td>
<td>30, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MDV</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Down-regulate RLORF8? Transform chicken T cells?</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSV-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Antiapoptosis</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MHV68</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>32, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rLCV</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>24, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyomavirus</td>
<td>SV40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Down-regulate the expression of large T antigen</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mouse polyomavirus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Down-regulate the expression of the middle and large T antigens</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SA12 polyomavirus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Down-regulate the expression of large T antigen</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>hAV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Just a byproduct?</td>
<td>46, 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>HIV-1</td>
<td>1?</td>
<td>Suppress both Nef function and HIV-1 virulence?</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Herpesviruses: the largest virus family**

Among the various families of viruses, the herpesvirus family stands out in establishing long-standing latent infection as a major part of the viral life cycle. There are eight human herpesviruses, all of them linked to important disease syndromes in man. Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), a member of the γ-herpesvirus family, was the first demonstrated to encode miRNAs (18), and with holding the current record of 23. These miRNAs originated from two clusters in the EBV genome, however, these different viral miRNAs show no sequence similarity to each other or the miRNAs encoded by other viruses, with the exception of eight miRNAs that are conserved with the rhesus
EBV miRNAs are located within the intron regions of BART homologue of the antiapoptotic protein Bcl-2. The others and 3, is adjacent to the BHRF1 gene, whose transcript is a homologue of the antiapoptotic protein Bel-2. The others EBV miRNAs are located within the intron regions of BART gene. These 20 EBV miRNAs are consistent with the expression of BART in every stage of EBV infection, and could be detected during the lytic and the three latent stages, while the expressions of miR-BHRF1-1, 2 and 3 are related to the stage of latency. Interestingly, the miR-BHRF1 expression pattern also showed variations between cell lines. It was likely to classify these miRNAs based on the expression pattern. Although the functions of these miRNAs are unknown, the use of algorithms predictions suggest that some of these miRNAs may target chemokines, cytokines, and apoptotic and cell growth control genes such as p53, all transcripts that have profound potential to influence the pathogenicity of the virus. Notably, miR-BART2 is antisense to a region in a lytic mRNA (BALF5) which encodes the viral DNA polymerase. Because of this overlap, it was hypothesized that the miRNA might function as small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) against BALF5 mRNA, resulting in the DNA polymerase degradation. (SiRNAs are a distinct class of 20–25-nucleotide RNA molecules that interfere with gene expression by destroying the transcripts after binding to complementary target sequences). Indeed, the 5.0-kb BALF5 mRNA has a 3.7-kb short form with a 3' terminus that maps to the predicted miR-BART2 cleavage site. This seems that viruses not only use miRNAs to regulate host specific genes but also use them as autoregulatory tools. Additionally, as mentioned above, the BHRF1 transcript is related to the antiapoptotic function. Whether the miR-BHRF1 plays a similar antiapoptotic function which HSV-1-encoded miRNA does (26), awaits further investigation.

The autoregulatory tools similar to miR-BART2 were also found in the studies of Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV), human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and Marek’s disease virus (MDV). For KSHV, 1 of the 12 miRNAs encoded by KSHV (27), miR-K12-10, is encoded in the open-reading frame of the kaposin gene. The products of kaposin A, B and C have important functions in cell growth and cytokine production (28, 29). As overexpression of either is deleterious to cells, the tight regulation may be performed by miR-K12-10 owing to the complementarity between the miRNA and the mRNA. As other herpesviruses, HCMV can establish lifelong latency in infected individuals. Otherwise, in contrast to EBV and KSHV miRNAs, HCMV miRNAs are spread across the viral genome (30, 31). Three of them are transcribed from the complementary strand of known ORFs, five are located in intergenic regions and one is located within an intron. Additionally, these miRNAs are produced during the lytic phase, whereas most of other viral miRNAs are expressed during the latent phase of viral life cycles. The three miRNAs complementary to protein-coding regions have the potential to function as siRNAs and regulate the level of viral proteins. For example, the miR-UL112-1 might target UL114, which is required for efficient viral DNA replication, resulting in the potential of miR-UL112-1 to control viral replication. Curiously, though not yet confirmed by expression data, several of the miRNAs encoded by HCMV seem to be conserved with chimpanzee CMV (32). This observation suggests that some viral miRNAs have been subject to experiencing positive selection and, maybe, their expression confers a selective advantage.

Mark’s disease (MD) is a lymphoid cell infiltration or lymphomatous tumor formation in the peripheral nerves and gonads result from infection of susceptible chickens with MDV (33). Productive infection occurs in epithelial cells and in B lymphocytes. Fully productive infection, which results in the release of infectious virus particles, occurs only in feather follicle epithelium. Burnside et al. tried to isolated small RNAs from MDV-infected chicken embryo fibroblasts (CEF) using the 454 Life Sciences sequencing technology, obtained eight miRNAs encoded by MDV (34). The first cluster consists of MDV-miR-1 to -5, which flank the meq oncogene, and the others (MDV-miR-6 to -8) map to the latency-associated transcript (LAT) region of the genome. The meq gene is unique to pathogenic serotypes of MDV and the Meq protein is the strongest candidate oncprotein described so far for MDV, whose expression is important for the transformation of lymphoblastoid cells (35), Rat-2 cells (36), DF-1 cells (37, 38) and the formation of MDV oncogenesis (39). It seems that MDV-miR-1 to -5 may contribute to MDV-induced transformation of chicken T cells. In addition, MDV-miR-2 to -5 appear to be expressed as a single transcriptional unit in the same orientation as the meq gene, and this cluster of miRNAs is antisense to another MDV transcript, RLORF8, which encodes a potential ORF of 135 amino acids that has no homology with other known sequences. Up to date, no protein from this locus has been reported. If any, this cluster of miRNAs may carry out their conventional regulatory role on this protein. MDV-miR-6 to -8 map to a large intron in the 5’ end of the latency-associated MSR transcript, which is presumably derived from a large 10-kb transcript that maps antisense to the ICp4 gene (40). Similarly, a recent astonishing discovery of an miRNA encoded by the α-herpesvirus herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1), named miR-LAT, also originated from the LAT (41). MiR-LAT could down-regulates transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) and SMAD3 (mothers against decapentaplegic homologue) miRNAs, who encode proteins that induce apoptosis in infected cells by activation of the TGF-β-mediated signaling pathway. That means miR-LAT has a powerful antiapoptotic function that maintains latent viral infection. It was tempting to speculate that MDV-miR-6 to -8 might have the similar antiapoptotic function as miR-LAT.

Studies of MHV68 have revealed interesting and unexpected miRNA processing and expression patterns, providing further supporting the evidence that our current knowledge about the biogenesis and expression of miRNAs probably represents just the tip of the iceberg. At least nine MHV68 miRNAs, cloned from mouse B lymphoma S11 cells latently infected with MHV68, are located within a 6-kb region near the M1 terminus of the linear MHV68 genome, immediately downstream of predicted viral tRNA genes (32). This led to the speculation that MHV68 pre-miRNAs are
transcribed by Pol III from tRNA promoters (42). Indeed, primary-tRNA-pre-miRNA transcripts with unusual hairpin structures suggest that MHV68 miRNAs processing mechanism has distinct features compared with other miRNAs. This reminds us that the pathways to miRNAs may be more varied than presently assumed.

MiRNAs have also been computationally predicted in the genomes of other herpesviruses, and it demonstrated that there are no miRNAs encoded by the genome of the α-herpesvirus HHV3 (also known as varicella-zoster virus), or the β-herpesviruses HHV6 and HHV7 (32), which also have a similar life cycle. If these viruses also encode miRNAs, it would be interesting to find out how they differ from the miRNAs found presently, and explore why they were not predicted computationally.

Polyomaviruses-encoded miRNAs
Polyomaviruses are a family of small double-stranded DNA viruses and oncogenic in various hosts including rodents, birds and human and non-human primates, most as latent infections. The SV40 miRNA is the first viral miRNA whose target is known with certainty. The SV40 genome is circular and the region that encodes the miRNAs on the late strand overlaps with, and is fully complementary to, viral early miRNAs encoding the viral T antigens (43). Unexpectedly, growth of the wild-type and mutant viruses was identical in tissue culture, in addition, cells infected with wild-type virus released less interferon-γ than those infected with mutant virus, therefore, down-regulation of the T antigen, which is the main target of the cytotoxic T lymphocyte response, conveniently protects infected cells from elimination by the host immune system. Furthermore, a similar regulation of expression of the middle and large T antigens by a miRNA that emanates from a different region of the late pre-mRNA of the mouse polyomavirus has also been observed (44). A recent study demonstrated the expression of the pre-miRNA precursor and the mature miRNA in SA12 polyomavirus-infected BSC40 monkey kidney epithelial cells (45). The late DNA strand encodes the SA12 miRNA overlaps with the 3′ end of large T antigen coding sequences, indicating a similar function of elimination by the host immune system played by this miRNA. Importantly, the pre-miRNA was computationally predicted to be conserved in other polyomaviruses, including JCV and BKV, which suggested a conserved function for the miRNAs generated among these viruses.

Adenovirus encodes “byproduct” miRNAs
Adenovirus encodes a ~160-nt noncoding RNA, called virus-associated RNAs (VA RNAs), that is transcribed in the nucleus by RNA polymerase III and expressed at very high levels (up to 10^8 copies per cell) during the late stage of its lytic replication cycle (46). It was noted that VA1 RNA also uses the nuclear-export receptor exportin 5 to exit the nucleus like pre-miRNA (47, 48), then the highly abundant VA1 RNA can inhibit the transport of pre-miRNAs from the nucleus to the cytoplasm by competing for exportin-5 (49), resulting in the decrease of pre-miRNAs which can induce RNAi. Additionally, it has been reported that both VA1 and VA2 RNA can act as functional competitive substrates for cytoplasmic RNase III enzyme Dicer (49, 50), which results in the miRNAs processing involving the Dicer cleavage step very inefficient, with only ~1% of VA1 being processed into adenovirus-derived miRNAs (51). Because VA1 potently inhibited RNAi induced by shRNAs or human miRNA precursors, it seems that the adenoviral miRNA is simply a byproduct of inadvertent processing of VA1 by Dicer. However, because of the high levels of VA1, it would be predicted to give rise to up to 10^6 VA1-derived miRNAs in an adenovirus-infected cell, this number is probably higher than that of almost all endogenous miRNAs. Therefore, the role played by VA1-derived miRNAs awaits further study.

Human immunodeficiency virus-encoded ‘controversial’ miRNAs
Extensive studies across many families of RNA viruses have failed to identify virus own miRNAs, including HCV and yellow-fever virus (32). Retroviruses are small, enveloped RNA viruses that have the ability to establish persistent infection similar to the herpesviruses family. The integrated provirus serves as the template for viral gene expression via host Pol II-mediated transcription. These make retroviruses the likeliest of all RNA viruses to encode miRNAs, since all retroviral transcription emanates from host machinery similar to that directing expression of cellular miRNAs. Up to date, however, human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) encodes ‘controversial’ miRNAs. The initial studies have come up empty handed (32). Bennesser et al. uncovered a few potential candidates in the regions of the HIV genome for pre-miRNA-like stem-loop structures, and proposed that they can function as siRNAs (52), but these findings could not be verified in an independent study. In another study that used HIV-1-infected MT-4 T cells, a novel miRNA named miR-N367, was isolated within the nef region of the genome, and proposed a role in suppressing both Nef function and HIV-1 virulence through the long terminal repeats (LTR) U3 region negative-response element (53). At present, it is not clear why the above-mentioned HIV studies have different outcomes, whether or not experimental designs influenced the outcomes of these studies, and maybe HIV-1 can also encode functionally important miRNAs.

MiRNAs editing
Though it is likely that many others viruses have the potential to encode their own miRNAs, such as human papilloma viruses, which are nuclear DNA viruses that establish long-term persistent infections, these miRNAs do not share homologies with each other or with the host miRNAs with a few exceptions as mentioned above. A recent study demonstrated that BART-1, BHRF1-1 and BHRF1-2 miRNAs of EBV seem to be conserved in a Cercopithecine herpesvirus 1, and miR-K12-11, which is encoded by KSHV, shares the first eight nucleotides with hsa-miR-155 though these miRNAs do not seem to be related (54). Even though, the lack of conservation of miRNAs between different human
viruses could be viewed as evidence that these miRNAs do not have an important role in virus replication. But as we know, inhibition of target mRNA expression may require only the complementarity between the ‘seed match’ region in the mRNA and the ‘seed’ region in the miRNA (55). Moreover, miRNAs are only ~22 nt in length, they could rapidly target new mRNA just to meet the requirement of ‘editing’ only a few nucleotides. This ‘mutation’ phenomenon was found indeed. Recently, adenosine deaminase (ADAR) editing of specific pri-miRNAs has been reported. This A-I editing event leads to decreased processing of the miRNA by Drosha and increases turnover by the Tudor-SN nuclease, a component of RISC and also a ribonuclease specific to inosine-containing dsRNAs (56). Notably, the viral miRNA, KSHV-miR-K12-10, with a single adenosine residue substituted by guanosine (miR-K12-10b) is frequently detected among cDNA isolates identified by the small-RNA cloning method. This indicates that editing of this particular site does not, in fact, inhibit pri-miR-K12-10 RNA processing, but leads to expression of mature miRNA with the edited sequence (32). In addition, the human and mouse pre-miRNAs of miR-22 are also edited at several positions, including sites in the mature miRNA (57). Although the extent of A-to-I editing was low (less than 5% across all adenosines analyzed), targeted adenosines were at positions predicted to influence the biogenesis and function of miR-22. Importantly, editing may influence miRNA strand choice because they would weaken the 5’ end of the pre-miRNA dicer product (58, 59), resulting in directing RISC to target genes different from those silenced by the unedited miRNAs. This indicates that the miRNAs originated from the same pre-miRNA may target more corresponding complementary mRNA, making the fine-tuning of the network more complicated.

**MiRNA: a new therapeutic target**

The development of any new therapeutics begins with the identification and early (pre-clinical) validation of novel biological targets, a process often termed target discovery and early (pre-clinical) validation of novel biological targets. A new therapeutic target, miRNA: a new therapeutic target

**MiR-122**


**Antagomir-122**


**Figure 2.** The sequence of miR-122 and the structure of its inhibitor antagomir-122. MiR-122 has standard RNA bases while the RNA backbone of antagomir-122 was modified, at each nucleotide, by an O-methyl moiety at the 2’-ribose position. Short dashes (-) indicate phosphodiester linkage, and long dashes (--) indicate phosphorothioate linkage. In addition, a cholesteryl functionality at the 3’ end of the nucleic acid.

Role in the molecular investigation of disease pathogenesis. Determination of the applicable miRNAs at the molecular level is already beginning to inform the design of new therapeutic strategies. Although miRNAs do not encode proteins, they have significant potential as therapeutic targets. The Watson-Crick base-pair complementarity between miRNAs and mRNAs is essential for the function of miRNAs, therefore, the most logical inhibitor of such interactions is an oligonucleotide that is antisense to the miRNA. Specific removal of the target miRNAs by anti-miRNA oligonucleotides (AMOs) can correct the aberrant activity of any miRNA-mRNA interaction, which contributes causally to a disease, such as cancer (66), neurological diseases (67) and most recently also with viral (34) and metabolic diseases (64).

Modified synthetic AMOs are useful tools in specifically inhibiting individual miRNAs. Most of the studies employed 2’-O-methyl (2’-O-Me) substituted RNA oligonucleotides. There were also reports using DNA (68, 69) or a mixed locked nucleic acid (LNA)-DNA AMO (70) and two studies have used 2’-O-methoxyethyl (2’-O-MOE) substituted RNA oligonucleotides (63, 71), another used 2’-sugar and phosphodiester backbone AMO (72). In a recently published study, KrCtzfeldt et al. were able to ablate the function of miR-122 in living mice upon administering specially developed antisense agents (73). These so-called antagonomers are synthesized single-stranded 23-nt RNA molecules complementary to the targeted miR-122. The RNA backbone was modified, at each nucleotide, by an O-methyl moiety at the 2’-ribose position (Figure 2). The terminal nucleotides at both ends were also modified with a phosphorothioate linkage, in contrast to the standard phosphodiester linkage in RNA and DNA (Figure 2). Such modifications can prevent nuclease degradation in the culture media leading a drastic increase in the biological half-life of the substances. Another modification was cholesteryl functionality at the 3’ end of the nucleic acid (Figure 2). This improved the stability and half-life in serum by binding to serum proteins and also enhanced cellular uptake. Injection of these antagonomers into the tail veins of the mice led to a degradation of the corresponding miR-122 but not other species of miRNAs from the liver, even after more than 20 days, indicating that
the antagonim had a durable effect. A closer biochemical examination of the mice treated with antagonim-122 showed that above all, levels of mRNAs containing recognition sequences for the corresponding miR-122 in their 3’ UTRs (ca. 360 mRNAs) were increased by a factor of at least 1.4. Interestingly, in addition to the aforementioned over-expression of mRNAs, a down-regulation of nearly as many mRNAs occurred. At least 11 genes involved in cholesterol biosynthesis, including the rate-limiting enzyme 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A-reductase, were among the genes that were down-regulated by antagonim-122. The authors speculate that through a degradation of miR-122, an upregulation of repressor proteins might occur, leading to inhibition of the expression of the corresponding effector genes and hence maintain the adult-liver phenotype by suppressing ‘nonliver’ genes.

Curiously, in another study, Esau et al. developed 2'-O-methoxyethyl phosphorothioate-modified antisense oligonucleotides that were complementary to miR-122, this resulted in a reduction in cholesterol levels, accompanied by a considerable decrease in hepatic steatosis (74, 75). As we know, the successful survival of viruses crucially depends on their ability to exploit the biosynthetic machinery of host cells, it seems that the cholesterol-biosynthesis pathway modulates HCV RNA replication by regulating the cellular levels of geranylgeranyl diphosphate, most probably affecting the activity of a geranylgeranylated host protein involved in HCV RNA replication. Thus, antagonims have the potential to become powerful tools in interfering with miRNA pathways thereby contributing to the dissection of their functions and their putative role in human disease processes.

Concluding remarks

It has been less than 2 years since the discovery of the first viral-encoded miRNAs (18), and it is likely that many others await discovery. The first in vivo study provides an exciting first step towards miRNA therapy, and the potential for ultimately designing molecular medicines based on the modulation of miRNAs seems good. The effects of the antagonims on the protein level, however, were only examined for a few enzymes connected with cholesterol metabolism in the antagonist study (73). The physiological effect of a complete and prolonged degradation of miR-122 is in the end relatively small. Moreover, the modified antagonims remain difficult to target the brain. The ability to efficiently, stably produce and deliver sufficient amounts of antagonim into the proper target cell without overt toxicity requires fine tuning of the technology before it can be tried clinically. In addition, unwanted cellular responses to such therapeutic agents may occur over the long term.

Recent patent applications in miRNAs has advanced rapidly (76). The miRNA biogenesis and their importance function in regulating gene expression in various organisms including virus are rapidly being elucidated. These scientific advances are swiftly being translated into therapeutic approaches to delivering antagonims into cells to tackle a variety of diseases. Because certain virus-encoded miRNAs can help viruses escape detection by antiviral innate immune responses (26, 43), related antagonims may influence viral tropism and the outcome of viral replication. Such data would contribute to basic science research in the field of virus biology and to identification of potential therapeutic targets. Notably, the exciting observation that the inhibition of the expression of the corresponding effector genes may be induced, raises the possibility of using repressor proteins as antiviral agents indirectly. As miRNA-based therapies begin to be evaluated in clinical studies, the next few years will test the promise of relevant drugs, allowing us to combine the specificity of siRNA and the mismatch tolerance of miRNA. It will likely bring many more surprises.
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